

Graduate Survey Report

University of Southern Mississippi

Principal Submissions: 77

Number of Principal Biological Submissions by Program:

Biology Licensure => 5 Elementary Education => 45

Elementary Education/Special Education Dual => 2

English Licensure => 11

Foreign Language Licensure (Spanish) => 1

Instructional Technology (Business Education) => 1

Mathematics Licensure => 5 Music Education (Choral) => 2 Music Education (Instrumental) => 2

Physical Education => 1

Secondary Education (Alternative Route) => 1

Special Education => 1

Area(s) of Endorsement Submitted by Principals: 174 Reading => 14

192 Social Studies => 10

119 English => 12 Unknown => 22

154 Mathematics => 8

117 Elementary Education (4-6) => 3

120 Elementary Ed (K-6) => 17

207 Gifted => 1

152 Elementary Education (K-4) => 1

166 Music Education Vocal => 1

903 Social Studies 7-8 \Rightarrow 2

165 Music Education Instrumental => 2 221 Special Ed (Mild/Mod K-12) => 2

436 Counselor for Elementary Ed. => 1

188 General Science => 3

181 Biology Education => 1 901 or 905 Math 7-8 => 1

117 Elementary Education (4-6) and 152 Elementary

Education $(K-4) \Rightarrow 1$

Masters Enrollment submitted by Principals:

Yes => 7 No => 64

Unknown => 6

Current Teaching

Grades K-3 \Rightarrow 39

Assignments by

Principals:

Language Arts/Spelling/Writing => 26 Mathematics => 42

Grades 4-6 => 14 Science => 24

Grades 10-12 => 13

Inclusion \Rightarrow 3

Social Studies/History/Geography/

Government/Economics => 26

Reading/Remedial Reading/Literacy => 27

Health => 8

English => 24

Intervention Strategies => 6

Physical Education => 2

Pre-K => 2

 $Music \Rightarrow 4$

Grades 7-9 => 16

Special Education => 2

Learning Strategies => 1

Developmentally Delayed => 2

Math ELA Science Social Studies Elementary Subject

=> 1

Compensatory Mathematics => 1

Foreign Language/ESL => 1

Inclusion $\Rightarrow 1$

Language Arts/Spelling/Writing => 1

Science => 1

Grades K-12 \Rightarrow 1

Technology/Keyboarding/Programming => 1

Survey Results for Principals:

The Learner and Learning

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
use knowledge of student backgrounds, interests, experiences, and prior knowledge (e.g., multicultural perspectives, pretests, interest inventories, surveys, and KWLs) to make instruction relevant and meaningful to diverse learners and positively impact K-12 student learning. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 2, TGR 2, TIAI 2)	0 (0%)	3 (3.9%)	3 (3.9%)	36 (46.75%)	35 (45.45%)

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
analyze multiple sources of growth data (e.g., pre/post assessments, surveys, inventories, remediation and enrichment activities) to provide differentiated learning experiences to accommodate developmental and individual needs of diverse learners and positively impact K-12 student learning. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 6, TGR 3, TIAI 8)	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	12 (15.58%)	32 (41.56%)	31 (40.26%)
monitor and adjust the classroom environment to enhance social relationships, individual motivation, and student learning outcomes. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 3, TGR 5, TIAI 20)	0 (0%)	6 (7.79%)	6 (7.79%)	33 (42.86%)	32 (41.56%)
use a variety of strategies to effectively manage student behavior to create and maintain a classroom climate of fairness, safety, respect, and support for all students. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 3, TGR 7, TIAI 23)	0 (0%)	6 (7.79%)	7 (9.09%)	36 (46.75%)	28 (36.36%)

Content

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
demonstrate in-depth knowledge of content for the subject(s) taught. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 4, TGR 4, TIAI 14)	0 (0%)	3 (3.9%)	1 (1.3%)	36 (46.75%)	37 (48.05%)
integrate core content knowledge from other subject areas in lessons. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 4, TGR 4, TIAI 3)	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	5 (6.49%)	38 (49.35%)	32 (41.56%)

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
use higher-order questioning to engage students in analytical, creative, and critical thinking, providing opportunities for students to apply these skills in problem solving and critical thinking activities. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 5, TGR 4, TIAI 17)	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	9 (11.69%)	38 (49.35%)	28 (36.36%)

Instructional Practices

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
select developmentally appropriate, performance-based objectives that connect core content knowledge for lessons based on State and National Standards. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 7, TGR 1, TIAI 1)	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	4 (5.19%)	41 (53.25%)	30 (38.96%)
plan lessons based on rigorous standards and best practices in the use of innovative and interesting methodologies, a variety of relevant teaching materials and current technology. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 8, TGR 2, TIAI 4)	0 (0%)	3 (3.9%)	6 (7.79%)	38 (49.35%)	30 (38.96%)
use a variety of appropriate teaching strategies (e.g., cooperative learning, discovery learning, demonstration, discussion, inquiry, interactive learning, simulation, etc.) to enhance student learning outcomes. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 8, TGR 4, TIAI 15)	0 (0%)	3 (3.9%)	8 (10.39%)	34 (44.16%)	32 (41.56%)

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
use available technology to design, implement, and assess learning experiences to engage students, improve learning, and enrich professional practice. (CAEP 1.5, InTASC 7, TGR 6, TIAI 6)	0 (0%)	3 (3.9%)	4 (5.19%)	36 (46.75%)	34 (44.16%)
elicit student input during lessons and allow sufficient wait time for students to expand and support their responses, making adjustments to lessons according to student input, cues, and individual/group responses. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 8, TGR 4, TIAI 18)	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	8 (10.39%)	44 (57.14%)	23 (29.87%)
incorporate a variety of informal and formal assessments (ex. – pre/post assessments, quizzes, unit tests, checklists, rating scales, rubrics, remediation and enrichment activities) to differentiate learning experiences that accommodate individual differences in developmental and/or educational needs. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 6, TGR 3, TIAI 5)	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	7 (9.09%)	39 (50.65%)	29 (37.66%)
prepare appropriate assessments (e.g., pre/post assessments, quizzes, unit tests, rubrics, and/or checklists) based on core content knowledge to effectively evaluate learner progress. (CAEP 1.2, InTASC 6, TGR 3, TIAI 7)	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	5 (6.49%)	40 (51.95%)	30 (38.96%)

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
provide an inclusion classroom setting that addresses the full spectrum of student needs (severe learning disabilities to gifted). (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 3, TGR 5, TIAI 13)	3 (3.9%)	2 (2.6%)	9 (11.69%)	36 (46.75%)	27 (35.06%)

Professional Responsibility

The teacher was prepared to:	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
establish opportunities for communication with parents and/or guardians, professional colleagues, and community members (newsletters, positive notes, extracurricular activities, professional development opportunities, conferences, etc.) to enhance resources, learning, and the learning environment. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 10, TGR 9, TIAI 25)	0 (0%)	3 (3.9%)	7 (9.09%)	35 (45.45%)	32 (41.56%)
demonstrate a spirit of inquiry and appreciation for research that promotes continuous improvement in my abilities to increase student learning outcomes. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 9, TGR 8)	1 (1.3%)	2 (2.6%)	6 (7.79%)	34 (44.16%)	34 (44.16%)
recognize the importance of the Mississippi Educator Code of Ethical Conduct, professional dispositions, and my influence as an adult role model for students. (CAEP 1.1, InTASC 9, TGR 8)	1 (1.3%)	2 (2.6%)	3 (3.9%)	31 (40.26%)	40 (51.95%)