# Group Presentation Critique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Group cohesiveness:**   | § Did it seem like a uniform presentation?  
§ Did it seem practiced?  
§ Did it seem like the sections fit together well?  
§ Were there smooth transitions between the sections that made organization clear and tied the presentation together?  
§ Did all group members participate equally?  
§ Were the different speakers equally prepared? |
| **Introduction:**         | § Did it grab your attention?  
§ Did it give the audience an incentive to listen?  
§ Did it set up the presentation well?  
§ Did it preview the parts of the presentation? |
| **Body content:**         | § Was the information clear?  
§ Was the body of the presentation well organized?  
§ Was the presentation supported with sources?  
§ Were the sections of the body well chosen?  
§ Were the transitions between sections well planned?  
§ Did the presentation reflect the paper (if presenting a paper)? |
| **Conclusion:**           | § Did the conclusion summarize the presentation well?  
§ Was there a well planned closer that wrapped up the presentation?  
§ Was the audience left with a clear understanding of the importance of the information? |
| **Visual aids:**          | § Were they professional looking?  
§ Were they well used?  
§ Did they add to the presentation? |
| **Delivery:**             | § Did the presentation seem practiced?  
§ Was the delivery extemporaneous (rather than manuscripted)?  
§ Was the delivery fairly consistent between group members?  
§ Did group members avoid distracting behavior (random movement, vocal fillers [uh, um], etc.)? |
| **Question and Answer:**  | § Did the group members seem prepared to answer questions?  
§ Were the answers clear?  
§ Did the answers provide enlightening information? |

**Final Grade:**