

27 April 2023

Addendum 1 for RFP 23-28 – University Scheduling Software

This addendum provides answers to questions submitted by prospective bidders. The University's answers are shown in RED.

Amber Floyd

Buyer, Procurement and Contract Services

General RFP Clarification

• When is the scheduled Award Date for this RFP? Documented on the website.

• In as much detail as possible, what is the process for award, who is involved in the decision making and does this need final executive or board approval? Process included in the RFP document. It does not need executive or board approval.

• Has the budget already been allocated & identified for this RFP? If so, what is the allocated budget? Will not be provided.

• What is the expected validity duration of pricing submitted? Our organization has a standard 60 day validity for all incentivized pricing. 60 days will be acceptable

• Can you provide detail on what is driving the June 30th timeline for delivery? End of existing contract

• Does the institution allow for electronic submission of RFP responses? Through our software solution and business operations, it is our goal to reduce paper waste and strive for eco-friendly practices. Yes, procedures included in the RFP document.

- Does any of the RFP submission require a notary? No
- Which parts of the RFP require signature? The bottom of the RFP Cover Page

Integration/Technical Questions

• Given the option, would the institution prefer separate SIS integrations for each of the solutions (academic & event scheduling), or a single integration covering all solutions? A single integration is preferred but would consider separate

• How important is it to be able to configure the solution without requiring IT or vendor support? Most solutions require a one-time set-up/configuration that would require both but after that neither are not needed

Requirement Workbook Questions

• Requirement #87 - "Ability for user defined reports to be appropriately labeled prior to saving the reports/query" - Please define the expectation for "appropriately labeled" reports - is this a request for customized naming conventions or simply clearly/logically named reporting? customized naming

• Requirement #98 - "Customizable exception reports and be able to designate the individual or group that should receive the report" - Please define what you mean by "customizable exception reports."

Any report created to show errors or exception in processing

• Requirement #118 - "Must not use or provide default credentials." Please provide more detail about this requirement. There are service providers, hosted/cloud servers that assign a default password or any vendor user/training account/ID used to access the system cannot use a system default password/name.