# Minkar Solar: A Regional Economic Impact Study





March 15, 2024

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary2
Introduction
Method of Research and Scope
Projected Economic and Fiscal Impact on Lamar County4
Economic Impact on Lamar County4
Fiscal Impact on Lamar County5
Solar Facilities in Mississippi and Tax Abatement Terms6
Changes in Assessed Adjacent Property Values Surrounding Other Mississippi Solar Sites
Research on the Impact of Utility-Scale Solar Facilities in Mississippi9
Tax Data for Parcels on the Proposed Minkar Solar Site11
Literature Review on Post-Project Accuracy of Economic Impact Studies Focusing on the Nissan Project in Canton
Current and Future Uses for Properties Adjacent to Minkar Solar13
Investigate the Composition and Location of Construction Phase Workforces on Other Mississippi Solar Projects14
Conclusion15
References16
Appendix A17
Appendix B19
Appendix C21
Appendix D23
Appendix E25

#### **Executive Summary**

bp has proposed the Minkar Energy Project, LLC (Minkar Solar) in Lamar County, Mississippi, to produce approximately 125 megawatts (MWdc) of electricity. The utilityscale solar power energy generation project would be located on approximately 1,000 acres of non-reserved pine forest land and use approximately \$130.8 million in private capital investment. The project is in early development, and details are subject to change. As the project evolves, this report uses current information to analyze: 1.) the projected economic impact to Lamar County from project construction and operations; 2.) the project's fiscal impact on the local tax base; and 3.) the impact on the residential property values of homes adjacent to the project.

The Minkar Solar project is expected to create approximately 190 jobs during project construction. Once operational, two (2) full-time jobs will be needed to operate and maintain the solar project, each at wages above the average county income. The project is expected to generate \$11.8 million in payroll during construction. According to JobsEQ, Mississippi sources 50% of its 'Power and Communication Line and Related Structures Construction' (NAICS 237130) outside the state. Data suggests this industry grew by approximately 57% in a decade from 2012 to 2022, amounting to 220 companies in 2022. It would be expected that 39% of the project will be sourced from Lamar County businesses.

Lamar County would receive positive fiscal impacts from property tax revenues related to the solar project. The operation of the solar project is anticipated to increase county revenue by \$1.032 million to \$19.937 million over ten years. This range is derived by using a tax abatement range from 0-95%, based on the current MS statute. As a point of reference, the project is planned to be built on land that generated \$14,000 in tax revenue for the county in 2022.

Potential impacts to residential property values from this project were also examined. No clear evidence exists in referenced studies that utility-scale industrial solar facilities positively or negatively impact property values. Those studies concluded that landscaping, suitable fencing, and green space buffering zones would likely eliminate any reduction in property value.

A regional study of residential properties shows that home prices have increased in value for residences in Mississippi located within ten miles of an existing utility-scale industrial solar project. Based on the findings of national and regional studies, it is unlikely that the Minkar Energy Project will adversely impact residential property values in Lamar County and beyond.

#### Introduction

bp has proposed to construct and operate a utility-scale solar power energy generation project in Lamar County, Mississippi. On behalf of bp, an integrated energy company, the project is being developed by Lightsource bp, one of the world's leading developers and operators of utility-scale solar and battery storage assets. Construction and operation of the Minkar Energy Project, LLC (Minkar Solar), located on 1,000 acres of non-reserved pine forest land, is projected to generate significant tax revenue for Lamar County. Once constructed, Minkar Solar is expected to generate 125 MWdc for the existing electric grid. The project is in the early stages of development, and the final project generation capacity may vary.

This report analyzes the potential economic, fiscal, and property value impacts on land adjacent to a proposed solar project in Lamar County, Mississippi. The study focuses on economic and financial implications.

#### Method of Research and Scope

This report utilized various methods and sources to evaluate the economic, fiscal, and property value impacts. The impact analysis was conducted using a solar project Benefit/Cost Analysis (BCA) model developed by Taimerica. Multipliers came from the JobsEQ Input/Output model. Several subscription sources were used, including Chmura JobsEQ and ESRI Community Analyst.

This report relied on the research below to establish a data baseline for the Mississippi utility-scale solar industry and conduct an economic and fiscal impact analysis for the project.

- 1. Inventoried existing utility-scale solar projects in Mississippi, including locations and tax abatement terms.
- 2. Identified and studied three solar projects built since 2017, and the analysis examined assessed residential property values (pre- and post-construction) on parcels adjacent to operational Mississippi solar facilities before and post-construction.
  - a. Hattiesburg Solar Project (2017, Forrest County);
  - b. Sumrall Solar Project (2017, Lamar County); and
  - c. Meridian III Solar Project (2019, Lauderdale County).
- 3. Collected data on property tax payments on the current project site, including special assessment classifications such as timber or agricultural uses.
- 4. Conducted a literature review on the accuracy of post-construction project economic impact studies, such as the Nissan project in Canton, Mississippi.
- 5. Determined current and future potential uses for the properties adjacent to the Minkar Solar site in Lamar County.

6. Investigated the composition and location of construction phase workforces on other Mississippi solar projects.

## Projected Economic and Fiscal Impact on Lamar County

Utility-scale solar facilities create most jobs during project construction, and a few permanent full-time jobs will be created to operate the project post-construction. Using local contractors can create a significant short-term stimulus. Solar projects have a positive fiscal effect by helping increase local government sales tax revenue.

Utility-scale solar facilities invest significant dollars in taxable property, potentially generating a substantial financial benefit for the community. The project is not expected to substantially impact local government services such as schools, municipal infrastructure, etc.

#### **Economic Impact on Lamar County**

The information provided shows that during the 15-month anticipated Minkar Solar construction period, approximately 190 workers would be needed to build the \$130.8 million project. It is estimated that \$68 million could be spent directly on construction. The construction workers could be a combination of local residents and those who reside outside the region. These workers could spend their earnings from the Minkar Solar construction job at local stores, restaurants, and hotels. This economic activity could support 236 jobs and generate over \$11 million in payroll during construction (see Table 1). This spending could provide a short-term benefit to the county.

#### Table 1.

#### Economic Impacts During the Construction Period

Construction Jobs on Site	190
Total County Jobs During Construction	236
Total Payroll in the Construction Period	\$11,824,172
Source: Toimonice Model	ψ <b>11</b> ,0 <b>24</b> ,1/ <b>2</b>

Source: Taimerica Model

As summarized in Table 2, Minkar Solar would employ two full-time employees once operational. Total compensation for the two full-time employees could be approximately \$232,000 annually, three times the average county wage. Additionally, supply chains (indirect) and spending in the local economy (induced) are projected to support another nine county jobs with a total annual income of approximately \$638,000.

Table 2.

Economic Impacts from Operation

New Direct Jobs from the Project	2
New Direct Personal Income	\$232,000
New Jobs as % of County Average Wage	301%
Jobs for County Residents (5 yrs.)	9
Personal Income for County Residents (5 yrs.)	\$638,000

Source: Taimerica Model

#### **Fiscal Impact on Lamar County**

Minkar Solar could attract \$130.8 million in private capital investment to build solar panels that generate electricity that the county will tax.

The amount of revenue will depend on the Fee-In-Lieu (FIL) tax structure the county board of supervisors could grant the project, ranging from no abatement to the statutory limit of 95% abatement. Based on this policy range, the researchers examined the four scenarios in Table 3.

The project is anticipated to generate a tax revenue of \$1,032,145 million to \$19,937,756 million over ten years for Lamar County or Mississippi, depending on the taxing structure (see Table 3). Before the 2021 renewable energy generation FIL legislation, a 2/3 abatement was common for solar projects. At the 2/3 level, the project could offer tax revenue of \$6,670,660 million over the ten-year FIL structure.

Table 3.

#### Tax Impact for Lamar County (Over 10 Years)

Abatement Level	Local Property Taxes
No Tax Abatement	\$19,937,756
Lamar County Historical Precedent $-2/3$	\$6,670,660
Emerging MS County Precedent – 80%	\$4,017,241
Current Maximum Allowed – 95%	\$1,032,145

Source: Taimerica Model

Table 4 summarizes the projected cost to the county from solar project operations. The study finds that the two full-time post-construction jobs will attract an additional seven households. Those households will require additional county services (e.g., an anticipated 2.8 students, etc.), resulting in a marginal increase in costs for the county of approximately \$390,000 over ten years or \$39,000 annually. The seven new households residing in the county will translate to county expenditures on government services, police, and opportunity costs. These extra costs to the county must be weighed against any potential tax revenue from the project, which, as stated in Table 3, could range from approximately \$1-20 million over ten years.

Table 4.

Additional Annual Costs to County from Operations

2.8
90,000

Source: Taimerica Model

#### Solar Facilities in Mississippi and Tax Abatement Terms

This study evaluated the 28 utility-scale solar projects approved by the Mississippi Public Service Commission (MPSC) since 2015. Out of the 28, the following three solar facilities are sufficiently similar to Minkar Solar and were further evaluated for abatement terms:

- Hattiesburg Solar Project in Forrest County
- Sumrall Solar Project in Lamar County
- Meridian III Solar Project in Lauderdale County

The Hattiesburg Solar Project in Forrest County and the Sumrall Solar Project in Lamar County received a 2/3 abatement (see Appendix B). The Meridian III Solar in Lauderdale County received a 50% abatement to offset infrastructure funding tied to the project (see Table 5).

Table 5.

Utility Scale Solar Projects in Mississippi approved since 2015

Solar Project	County	Size	Date
MS Solar 7	Clay	200 MW	2023
SR Byhalia	Marshall	4.8 MW	2023
SR Marshall	Marshall	4.8 MW	2023
SR CL Panola I, LLC	Panola	4.8 MW	2023
SR CL Panola II, LLC	Panola	4.8 MW	2023
Fable Solar, LLC	Stone	109 MW	2023
Harvest Gold Solar	Sunflower	99 MW	2023
Sunflower Solar, LLC	Sunflower	100 MW	2023
Delta's Edge	Carroll	100 MW	2021
MS Solar 4, LLC	Covington	96 MW	2021
Wildflower Solar, LLC	Desoto	100 MW	2021
Walnut Grove	Leake	5.14 MW	2021
MS Solar 6	Lowndes	150 MW	2021
Crane Creek	Clarke	78.5 MW	2020
Moon Shot	Hancock	78.5 MW	2020
MS Solar 5	Lowndes	200 MW	2020
Pearl River Solar Park	Scott	175 MW	2020
Sunflower Solar, LLC	George	112 MW	2018
Hattiesburg Solar Project	Forrest	50 MW	2017

SR Meridian III	Lauderdale	52.5 MW	2017
Lee Solar	Lee	1 MW	2017
Chickasaw Solar, LLC	Chickasaw	1 MW	2015
SR Houston, LLC	Chickasaw	3.9 MW	2015
MS Solar 2, LLC	Lamar	52 MW	2015
MS Solar 3, LLC	Lamar	52 MW	2015

Source: Mississippi Public Service Commission https://www.psc.ms.gov

#### Changes in Assessed Adjacent Property Values Surrounding Other Mississippi Solar Sites

#### National Review of Residential Property Value Impacts

- Based on the reviewed literature, there is no conclusion that solar projects negatively or positively impact property values. There is evidence that there are no property value reductions when landscaping, suitable fencing, and green space buffering zones are properly utilized around solar projects.
- Some studies found positive impacts on land value because the land where the projects are placed will not be developed for 25 years or longer, allowing the soil to regenerate from being farmed and accumulate nutrients.
- Solar power generation facilities are relatively new developments in Mississippi, and more data is needed to clearly understand their effects on real estate property values. Given the variability in residential property values, more real estate transactional data is needed to discern impacts from utility-scale solar facilities. As this industry grows, more real estate transaction data will be available for further study.

A growing body of research assesses the effects of utility-scale solar facilities on the communities where they are sited. Specific studies examine the effects of utility-scale solar facilities on the value of surrounding properties. Results from the literature reviews are mixed and conclude the following:

Elmallah et al. (2023) examined extensive residential housing sales data from transactions near utility-scale solar facilities in six states (i.e., CA, MN, CT, MA, NC, NJ). The research also included transactions in different land classifications, such as rural, urban, and suburban. The data sets were historically spanned to include the adjacent property transactions before and after the development of the large-scale solar project. Elmallah et al. assembled a robust database of 1.8 million residential real estate sales within proximity to over 1,522 PV solar facilities over 1 MWdc. The team uses a DiD (difference in difference) model to test the effects of nearby solar facilities on the value of residential housing sales. DiD is a fixed effects regression model appropriately used to model dynamic environments, such as labor and residential real estate markets, where overall prices or employment numbers change. The method provides a statistical tool for isolating the effects of an experimental group from those of a control group.

The research questions investigated in the study are:

- 1. What effect do large-scale PV projects have on residential home prices and
- 2. Does the effect of large-scale PV projects differ based on prior land use, the size of the project, and the urbanicity of a home's location?

This study's database has several control variables to test the heterogeneity of results. The authors carefully tested the underlying assumptions in the DiD method (parallel trends) to ascertain that the results are robust to the assumptions underlying the method.

The findings indicate that property values of residential homes within ½ miles of utilityscale solar facilities could change after the construction of a solar project. However, the study states that these impacts are unique for all transactions and are not transferrable from one situation to another.

Findings displayed in Table 6 show that, on average, a nearby PV solar project being built within .25 miles of a residence reduced the value of that residence by a maximum of 2.26%, and there was no effect on value if the residence was located a mile or further from the solar project. The average distance decay function for residential sales is shown below:

#### Table 6.

Distance from PV Solar Project in Miles	Effect on Value as Percentage
025	-2.26%
.2550	-1.33%
0.5-1.0	-0.82%
> 1	0

Findings of Distance to Property Value Effects by Elmallah et al.

Source: Elmallah et al. (2023)

Note that this function explains the average price response for the database of 1.8 million real estate transactions in six states. The following caveats apply to the results of the study:

- No statistically significant effects on residential prices in CA, CT, and MA states. All price effects are recorded in MN, NC, and NJ.
- Price effects are only statistically significant in rural projects on agricultural land (row crops). Price effects are insignificant on greenfield projects (forest, developed open space, shrubs/scrub).
- Price effects are only measurable for PV solar projects that are greater than 75,813 square meters (18.7 acres) in size.

The results in Elmallah et al. (2023) are consistent with other studies of price responses to PV solar facilities conducted. Unlike prior research, this report captures the heterogeneous effects on price due to prior land use and geography.

Texas serves as a good example of high amounts of data on solar projects and residential property values because the state has seen increased land mass use by increasing the number of solar projects. Keilla et al., 2023 examined Texas market trends, collected residential real estate transaction data, and interviewed local market experts. Findings indicate that in Texas, there were no negative property value impacts on properties near utility-scale solar sites (Keilla et al., 2023).

Gaur and Land, 2020 studied Massachusetts and Rhode Island solar projects and residential property values. Using transactional housing data and control areas not adjacent to solar energy sites, the study modeled outcomes. The results imply an impact on properties closest to utility-scale solar facilities. However, the study identifies that both states have the highest population density. The effects of setting these expansive facilities in small states with high populations may skew the overall results (Gaur & Lang, 2020).

Al-Hammodah et al. (2018) surveyed approximately 400 property value assessors nationwide. They reported that most respondents believe that proximity to a solar installation has either no impact or a positive impact on home values. Positive impacts include border trees and the assurance that land will not be redeveloped for 30 years into potentially unfavorable use.

Given the Elmallah, Al-Hammodah study findings, Minkar Solar's long-term land maintenance and bio-diversity plan for the site, along with buffer zones, green spaces, and other vegetation, will minimize the visual effects on nearby residences.

#### Research on the Impact of Utility-Scale Solar Facilities in Mississippi

This report also examined changes in assessed property values on parcels adjacent to three other Mississippi solar facilities pre-and post-construction.

- a. Meridian III Solar, completed in 2019 (Lauderdale County);
- b. The Sumrall Solar project, completed in 2017 (Lamar County); and
- c. Hattiesburg Solar, completed in 2017 (Forrest County).

Obtaining the same data set for the three projects was challenging because they were built at various times. For example, Forrest County had assessed values for at most four years. Hattiesburg Solar was completed in 2017, and the data range starting in 2019 does not show pre- and post-completion value changes.

Using Stata, a statistical examination of the obtained assessed values on parcels adjacent to the Sumrall and Meridian Solar facilities was conducted. The multiyear analysis showed no statistically significant trends in assessed valuations. This supported the determination that assessed values would not provide sufficient data to draw conclusions about the effects of utility-scale solar facilities on residential properties within a mile of the site.

The Meridian and Sumrall solar facilities are in rural areas and have been removed from population centers. Consequently, adjacent properties are not bought and sold as frequently as urban parcels. Additionally, many land parcels surrounding the solar

facilities in Meridian and Sumrall are not residential. Without frequent transactions, baseline data sources are limited.

Generally, transactional data is captured by entities other than the counties. Multiple Listing Services (MLS) provide supporting data. This data frequently contains transactional prices, appraisal information, and comparatives to nearby property prices and is available through various platforms. This type of service more accurately establishes actual property values. Changes in the transaction price can reveal the effects of externalities, like adding an adjacent utility-scale solar project on surrounding property values.

When Elmallah et al. (2023) compiled the data for the study, they benefited from the massive number (1.8M) of residential property transactions proximal to the utility-scale solar facilities. Similar data for rural Mississippi does not exist. This lack of data complicates comparisons and conclusions similar to those in other studies.

Residential properties in Mississippi within ten miles of existing utility-scale industrial solar facilities show a general increase in value. Of 30 properties, two showed a minor decrease in value. What caused the decline is unclear and not available in the data surveyed.

Given the need to establish property value trends in communities with utility-scale industrial solar facilities, ten current residential listings were gathered from each county to gain this study's general property valuation trends. A distance of approximately 10 miles from the control sites was established. A historical range from 2016-2023 from each property listing was obtained from Zillow estimates. The benefit of this data is the historic establishment of actual sales values contrasted with the present listing amounts (see Tables 7 - 9).

Table 7.

Street Address	City	County	2016 Value	2023 Value	Change in Value %
205 Smith Spur Road	Toomsuba	Lauderdale	98,000	115,000	15%
5782 Dogwood Drive	Toomsuba	Lauderdale	204,000	405,000	50%
5477 Rainbow Pkwy	Toomsuba	Lauderdale	210,000	339,000	13%
8524 Cottonwood Drive	Meridian	Lauderdale	198,000	237,000	16%
8219 Van Zyverden	Meridian	Lauderdale	220,000	320,000	31%
7590 Lake Cove Drive	Meridian	Lauderdale	665,000	752,000	11%
6902 13 <sup>th</sup> Place	Meridian	Lauderdale	279,000	329,000	15%
1715 43 <sup>rd</sup> Street	Meridian	Lauderdale	182,000	215,000	15%
6229 16th Avenue	Meridian	Lauderdale	152,000	219,000	31%
7925 Van Zyverden	Meridian	Lauderdale	188,000	251,000	25%
Ave	erage		239,600	318,200	22%
				181,780	17%

Property Value Changes in Proximity to the Meridian Solar Project

Source: Zillow www.zillow.com; Realtor.com

#### Table 8.

#### Property Value Changes in Proximity to Hattiesburg Solar Silicon Ranch

Street Address	City	County	2016 Value	2023 Value	Change in Value %
67 Saint Andrews	Hattiesburg	Forrest	368,000	413,000	11%
1213 Carter Drive	Hattiesburg	Forrest	139,000	275,000	49%
104 Dovercliff	Hattiesburg	Forrest	241,000	405,000	41%
1103 Rushing Drive	Hattiesburg	Forrest	130,000	230,000	43%
2809 Laramie Circle	Hattiesburg	Forrest	87,000	210,000	59%
2900 Lincoln Road #9	Hattiesburg	Forrest	110,000	109,000	(1%)
54 Clear Springs	Hattiesburg	Forrest	139,000	215,000	35%
90 Deer Run	Hattiesburg	Forrest	144,000	195,000	26%
19 Suncrest	Hattiesburg	Forrest	175,000	217,000	19%
113 Jeff Davis	Hattiesburg	Forrest	189,000	398,000	53%
Av	erage		172,200	266,700	37%
				245,000	36%

Source: Zillow www.zillow.com: Realtor.com

#### Table 9.

# Property Value Changes in Proximity to the Existing Sumrall Solar Project, Lamar County

Street Address	City	County	2016 Value	2023 Value	Change in Value %
114 Ratcliff Road	Sumrall	Lamar	395,000	612,000	35%
877 Oloh Road	Sumrall	Lamar	498,000	535,000	7%
4806 Highway 589	Sumrall	Lamar	183,000	327,000	44%
5089 Highway 589	Sumrall	Lamar	180,000	430,000	58%
181 Gates Bridge	Sumrall	Lamar	211,000	299,000	29%
336 Oral Church Road	Sumrall	Lamar	351,000	975,000	64%
200 Poplar Street	Sumrall	Lamar	163,000	280,000	48%
152 Highway 42	Sumrall	Lamar	448,000	441,000	(2%)
599 Highway 42	Sumrall	Lamar	341,000	710,000	52%
4519 Highway 589	Sumrall	Lamar	535,000	569,000	6%
Av	erage		330,500	571,800	34%
Stacker 5-year change for S	umrall and Typ	ical Home Valu	e	237,315	27.4%

Source: Zillow www.zillow.com; Stacker.com

#### Tax Data for Parcels on the Proposed Minkar Solar Site

The proposed solar project is slated to be located on 1,000 acres of non-reserved forests where harvest is allowed (see Table 10). It is a pine forest; Lamar County taxes collected in 2022 were \$13,984.

#### Table 10.

Parcel PPIN	Date 2022	Classification
16315	\$369.09	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16475	\$260.15	9220 Non-reserved Forests
35913	\$324.98	9220 Non-reserved Forests
35914	\$1,454.79	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16436	\$2,359.27	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16319	\$84.83	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16316	\$1,118.66	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16318	\$395.90	9220 Non-reserved Forests
19077	\$603.15	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16311	\$2,353.43	9220 Non-reserved Forests
38114	\$20.76	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16312	\$2,262.03	9220 Non-reserved Forests
16317	\$357.60	9220 Non-reserved Forests
Total	\$13,986.64	

Tax Data for Parcels on the Proposed Minkar Solar Site

Source: Lamar County Real Property Tax Data https://deltacomputersystems.com/MS/MS37/plinkquerym.html

#### Literature Review on Post-Project Accuracy of Economic Impact Studies Focusing on the Nissan Project in Canton

A method of evaluating the projections and outcomes of a commercial project is to conduct an *ex-ante and ex-post* review. These studies are often used when public funds and abatements are included as incentives for private investments. This information is reviewed to determine if initial projections (*ex-ante*) *equal the outcomes* (*ex-post*). Potential job creation is often the central topic when evaluating and obtaining support for commercial undertakings. Comparing the projected employment to the actual employment numbers verifies the accuracy of initial claims and validates intended outcomes.

The robustness of economic and fiscal impact studies is contingent on the quality of data utilized to derive estimates. The models do an adequate job of projecting outcomes if the scenarios play out as anticipated and the inputs are accurate. However, unanticipated macro and microeconomic activities can often cause the model outputs to differ from what happened.

The Nissan plant in Canton, Mississippi, was projected to create 6,000 full-time jobs. All studies and data support the initial claims that employment numbers were correct. Further, the Nissan plant in Canton continues its current operations with plans to expand the project (see Table 11).

#### Table 11.

Employment	Goodman (2002) Employment Projections for 2010	NSparc (2016) Employment Findings 2016
Direct	5,300	6,000
Indirect	21,980	19,000
Total	27,280	25,000

#### Projected and Current Nissan Employment in Canton

Sources: Goodman, Lowell et al. (2002) The Economic Impact of Nissan Mississippi. The Goodman Group; National Strategic and Planning Analysis Research Center (2016) Nissan Canton: A Catalyst for Advanced Manufacturing in Mississippi

#### Current and Future Uses for Properties Adjacent to Minkar Solar

There are approximately 113 properties adjacent to the proposed Minkar Solar. The parcels have mixed classifications and current usage. The parcels range from farms to housing and non-reserved forests. Property owners will determine future use.

National studies show that residential property impacts from utility-scale solar sites are limited to within one mile of the project. Approximately 505 residential properties are located within one mile of the proposed Minkar project. Preliminary data for those properties are summarized in Tables 12 & 13 and compute a median household income of \$81,775, which is \$18,000 higher than the county median household income of \$64,000. The population has increased by 11% (131) since 2010, and the population of African Americans is 5% higher at 27% than the county's 22%.

Table 12.

Population Within 1-Mile of Project

Total Population (2023)	1,324
Median Household Income (2023)	\$81,775
Average Household Size	2.75
Population by Race	
White	66%
Black	27%
Other or not reporting race	7%

Source: ESRI Community Analyst 2020 Census Profile

There are 505 residential properties within one mile of the project, with the majority (72%) being owner-occupied, 23% tenant-occupied, and 4.8% vacant (see Table 13). In 2020, 37% of houses within one mile of the proposed project site were for rent, and 19% were for sale. From 2020 to 2023, four additional houses were constructed within this

area. This area is largely rural, but with residential development moving west from Hattiesburg, the area is becoming more developed.

Table 13.

Residential Properties Within 1-Mile of Project

Total Housing Units	505
Total Households	95.2%
Total Vacant	4.8%
Owner Occupied	72%
Tenant-Occupied	23%
Vacant Housing Status (2020)	
For Rent	37%
For Sale	19%

Source: ESRI Community Analyst 2020 Census Profile

# Investigate the Composition and Location of Construction Phase Workforces on Other Mississippi Solar Projects

Limited secondary data was found about the solar project construction workforce at other Mississippi solar projects. Economic developers and solar operators will need to be contacted to get this data.

Employment in Mississippi's solar construction industry is growing. In the last ten years, it increased by 3.8%, compared to the national growth of 4.4%. The wages at \$80,000 are high for Mississippi, well above the \$47,000 average (see Figure 1). However, they are below the national average of \$95,000 for this industry.

Figure 1: Employment and Wages Comparison

EMPLOYMENT



**2,785** Regional employment / **236,587** in the nation

**3.8%↑** Avg Ann % Change Last 10 Years / +**4.4%** in the US

Region Nation

0.2%	
% of	Total
Emp	loyment / 0.1%
in th	e US
Region	
Nation	

WAGES



**\$80,612** Avg Wages per Worker / **\$94,804** in the nation

3.9%	N
Avg A	Ann % Change
Last	10 Years /
+3.6	% in the US
Region	
Nation	

#### Conclusion

This report concludes that operations will have a marginal impact on direct and indirect jobs. However, the fiscal impact on Lamar County (considering potential tax abatements) will be significant. In 2022, Lamar saw only \$14,000 in tax revenue from the land on which the proposed utility-scale solar farm is built. The four tax abatement structures analyzed in this report show a significant increase ranging from \$1.032 million to \$19.937 million (over ten years).

Minkar Solar would create approximately 190 jobs during construction and approximately two full-time positions during post-construction operations, each earning three times above the county's average income. The project is expected to generate \$11.8 million in payroll during construction.

Our study has not identified residential property value impacts from nearby solar power generation facilities. However, these studies did conclude that no reductions occurred when landscaping, suitable fencing, and greenspace buffering zones were utilized.

Some studies found positive impacts on land value because the land will not be further developed for an extended period. Extensive real estate transaction data is needed to test whether utility-scale solar facilities in Mississippi impact property values.

#### References

Al-Hammodah, Leila; Koppa, Kavita; Schieve, Eugenie; Reeves, D. Cale; Hoen, Ben; Seel, Joachim; and Rai, Varun. 2018. An Exploration of Property-Value Impacts Near Utility-Scale Solar Installations. Policy Research Project (PRP), LBJ School of Public Affairs, The University of Texas at Austin, May 2018.

Elmallah, S., Hoen, B., Fujita, K. S., Robson, D., & Brunner, E. (2023). Shedding light on large-scale solar impacts: An analysis of property values and proximity to photovoltaics across six US states. *Energy Policy*, *175*, 113425.

Gaur, V., & Lang, C. (2020). Property value impacts of commercial-scale solar energy in Massachusetts and Rhode Island. *Submitted to University of Rhode Island Cooperative Extension on September 29*, 2020.

Ramchandani, G. M., & Coleman, R. J. (2012). Testing the accuracy of event economic impact forecasts. *International Journal of Event and Festival Management*, *3*(2), 188-200.

NAICS:	L	323111	Local Tax Benefits over 10 years (current \$, not NPV)	not NPV)
Solar Electric Power Generation	tio		Local Sales Tax all jurisdictions	s
			Local Property Tax with abatement	\$ 19,937,756
Direct Impacts from Operations			Total Taxes	\$ 19,937,756
Current Jobs in yr 0*		0		
New Direct Jobs from project		2		
Total Jobs in yr 5 with spinoff		6	Government Costs over 10 Years (curent \$)	
Current Company Payroll	ş		County+Sheriff	\$ 99,286
New Direct Personal Income in yr 5	ŝ	232,000	Schools	\$ 281,479
Personal Income (New + Retained)	ŝ	232,000	Opportunity Costs	\$ 9,242
			Total Costs	\$ 390,007
Impacts during Operations			-	
Regional Jobs		6	Incentive Package	
Regional Personal Income	ŝ	638,000	Property Tax(%)	
Jobs for county residents (yr 5)		6		
Personal Income for county residents (yr5)	ŝ	638,000	Benefit/ Cost Ratio	51.1
Share of project market outside county		100%	Company Wage/worker in yr 1	\$ 116,000
			Company tax/worker in yr 1	\$ 1,000,452
Parish Impacts during Construction				
Construction Jobs onsite		190	Socioeconomic Impacts	
Total county jobs during construction		236	Avg. Wage retained jobs	
Construction period (months)		15	Avg. Wage New Jobs	\$ 116,000
Total Payroll in Construction Period	Ş	11,824,172	New Jobs as % of County Average Wage	301%
Construction Spending	ŝ	68,037,002	Additional Households in County	
Total Company Investment	ŝ	130,809,728	Additional K-12 students	

Appendix A: No Tax Abatement

Benefits and Costs of the New Investment and Jobs

37,112 19,937,756 19,937,756 10,008,754 281,479 99,286 9,242 638,000 200,013 116,000 19,900,644 9,929,003 390,007 Ξ Aggregate ¥, 638,000 363,660 116,000 6,748 1,934,915 1,941,663 ,941,663 966,948 974,715 28,148 49.8 23,651,707 9,929 39,001 924 . Year 10 24,327,470 978,199 28,148 327,294 116,000 6,073 1,958,183 1,964,256 1,964,256 986,056 39,001 638,000 9,929 924 50.4 . . Year 9 25,649,171 116,000 984,572 992,480 28,148 9,929 50.7 638,000 290,928 1,971,654 1,977,052 1,977,052 39,001 5,398 57 . Year 8 254,562 25,658,590 116,000 1,988,215 998,064 28,148 51.0 638,000 4,723 1,983,492 1,988,215 151,099 9,929 39,001 924 • Year 7 218,196 24,493,467 116,000 1,005,123 28,148 638,000 1,998,188 2,002,237 2,002,237 997,114 51.3 4,049 9,929 924 39,001 . Year 6 Lamar County Minkar Solar 51.5 2,009,318 181,830 24,015,685 116,000 3,374 1,000,640 1,008,678 28,148 638,000 2,005,944 2,009,318 9,929 39,001 924 • Year5 24,671,238 2,014,766 51.7 638,000 145,464 116,000 2,699 2,014,766 1,003,354 1,011,413 28,148 9,929 2,012,067 924 39,001 . Year 4 109,098 22,666,710 116,000 1,013,328 28,148 9,929 638,000 2,018,581 1,005,253 39,001 51.8 2,024 2,016,557 2,018,581 924 . Year 3 72,732 116,000 2,019,415 2,020,765 2,020,765 1,006,341 1,014,424 28,148 9,929 638,000 39,001 51.8 \$ 19,032,815 1,350 924 • Year 2 19,425,245 116,000 2,000,904 996,450 1,004,454 28,148 9,929 513 638,000 36,366 5 2,000,229 2,000,904 924 39,001 . . Year 1 s ŝ New Property Tax Digest (res. + comm. Outside of comp. New Property Tax Digest (project only) Total New Personal Income in county Total New Jobs for county residents New Direct Jobs at Company County government (FV) Reduction for abatement fotal Property Tax Impact From Payroll Spending Avg. Wage for Project From Project CAPEX Government Costs n-County Impacts otal Tax Revenue ax by Jurisdiction Senefit/Cost Ratio **pportunity Costs** Schools (FV) ax Benefits roperty Tax otal Costs Schools County Sheriff

Source: Taimerica EDD Cost/Benefit Model

"Opportunity costs are a method of suoiding double counting of workers already in the workforce and therefore paying taxes. They are the underemployed in the community.

	Lamar Co	Lamar County Minkar Solar	
		2/22/2024 8:10	
NAICS:	323111	Local Tax Benefits over 10 years (current \$, not NPV)	ot NPV)
Solar Electric Power Generation	ion	Local Sales Tax all jurisdictions	- \$
		Local Property Tax with abatement	\$ 6,670,660
Direct Impacts from Operations		Total Taxes	\$ 6,670,660
Current Jobs in yr 0*			
New Direct Jobs from project			
Total Jobs in yr 5 with spinoff		Government Costs over 10 Years (curent \$)	
Current Company Payroll	· \$	County+Sheriff	\$ 99,286
New Direct Personal Income in yr 5	\$ 232,000	Schools	\$ 281,479
Personal Income (New + Retained)	\$ 232,000	Opportunity Costs	\$ 9,242
		Total Costs	\$ 390,007
Impacts during Operations			
Regional Jobs		9 Incentive Package	
Regional Personal Income	\$ 638,000	Property Tax(%)	67%
Jobs for county residents (yr 5)			
Personal Income for county residents (yr5)	\$ 638,000	Benefit/ Cost Ratio	17.1
Share of project market outside county	100%	6 Company Wage/worker in yr 1	\$ 116,000
		Company tax/worker in yr 1	\$ 1,000,452
Parish Impacts during Construction			
Construction Jobs onsite	190	Socioeconomic Impacts	
Total county jobs during construction	236	Avg. Wage retained jobs	0
Construction period (months)	15	Avg. Wage New Jobs	\$ 116,000
Total Payroll in Construction Period	\$ 11,824,172	New Jobs as % of County Average Wage	301%
Construction Spending	\$ 68,037,002	Additional Households in County	7
Total Company Investment	\$ 130,809,728	Additional K-12 students	2.8
			TAIMERICA
			HANAGEN

## Appendix B: Lamar County Historical Precedent 2/3

Benefits and Costs of the New Investment and Jobs

						amar cour	Lamar County Minkar Solar	olar							
	Year 1		Year 2	Ye	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	*	Year 7	Year 8	-	Year 9	Year 10	Avg
In-County Impacts															
New Direct Jobs at Company		2	2		2	2			2	2		2	2	2	
Total New Jobs for county residents		9	6		6	6		_	6	6		6	6	6	
Total New Personal Income in county	\$	638,000	638,000	~	638,000 \$	638,000	\$ 638,000	\$ 638,000	× 8	638,000	\$ 638,000	~ 8	638,000 \$	638,000	\$ 638,000
New Property Tax Digest (res. + comm. Outside of comp. 5		36,366	72,732	~	109,098	145,464	\$ 181,830	\$ 218,196	Ş 96	254,562	\$ 290,928	ي 13	327,294 \$	363,660	\$ 200,013
New Property Tax Digest (project only)	\$ 19,425,	5,245	5 19,032,815	5	22,666,710	24,671,238	\$ 24,015,685	\$ 24,493,467	~	25,658,590	\$ 25,649,171	~	24,327,470	23,651,707	
Avg. Wage for Project	\$ H	116,000	116,000	~	116,000 \$	116,000	\$ 116,000	\$ 116,000	\$	116,000	\$ 116,000	\$	116,000 \$	116,000	\$ 116,000
Tax Benefits															Aggregate
Property Tax															•
From Payroll Spending	~	52	1,350	~	2,024 \$	2,699	\$ 3,374	\$ 4,049	5 63	4,723	\$ 5,398	28 S	6,073 \$	6,748	\$ 37,112
From Project CAPEX	\$ 2,000,	0,229	2,019,415	~	2,016,557 \$	2,012,067	\$ 2,005,944	\$ 1,998,188	~	1,983,492	\$ 1,971,654	54 5	1,958,183 \$	1,934,915	\$ 19,900,644
Reduction for abatement	\$ (1.33	(1,333,486) \$	(1,346,277)	~	(1,344,371) \$	(1,341,378)	\$ (1,337,296)	\$ (1,332,125)	~	(1,322,328)	\$ (1,314,436)	~	(1,305,455) \$	(1,289,943)	\$ (13,267,096)
Total Property Tax Impact	\$ 667,	7,418 \$	674,468	~	674,210 \$	673,388	\$ 672,022	\$ 670,111	2	665,887	\$ 662,616	5 9	658,801 \$	651,719	\$ 6,670,660
Total Tax Revenue	\$ 667,	7,418	674,488	~	674,210 \$	673,388	\$ 672,022	\$ 670,111	~	665,887	\$ 662,616	5	658,801 \$	651,719	\$ 6,670,660
Tax by Jurisdiction															
County government (FV)	\$ 332,	2,374	335,895	~	335,757 \$	335,347	\$ 334,667	\$ 333,715	15 \$	331,612	\$ 329,983	35	328,083 \$	324,556	\$ 3,321,989
Schools (FV)	\$ 33	335,044	338,593	~	338,453 \$	338,041	\$ 337,355	\$ 336,396	\$ 96	334,275	\$ 332,633	3	330,718 \$	327,163	\$ 3,348,672
Government Costs												-			
Schools	\$	28,148	28,148	~	28,148 \$	28,148	\$ 28,148	\$ 28,148	48 2	28,148	\$ 28,148	5	28,148 \$	28,148	\$ 281,479
County	~	9,929	9,929	ŝ	9,929 \$	9,929	\$ 9,929	\$ 9,929	29 \$	9,929	\$ 9,929	3 5	9,929 \$	9,929	\$ 99,286
Sheriff	~	•		ŝ	•		•		Ś	•		Ś			•
Opportunity Costs	~	57	924	~	924 \$	924	\$ 924	ŝ	924 \$	924	2 6	924 \$	924 \$	924	\$ 9,242
Total Costs	~ ~	39,001	39,001	~	39,001 \$	39,001	\$ 39,001	\$ 39,001	ы С	39,001	\$ 39,001	ц 5	39,001 \$	39,001	\$ 390,007
									_			_			
Benefit/Cost Ratio		17.1	17.3		17.3	17.3	17.2		17.2	17.1	1	17.0	16.9	16.7	17
		l		l											

Source: Taimerica EDD Cost/Benefit Model

\*Opportunity costs are a method of avoiding double counting of workers already in the workforce and therefore paying taxes. They are the underemployed in the community.

NAICS:	323111	Local Tax Benefits over 10 years (current \$, not NPV)	not NPV)
Solar Electric Power Generation	u	Local Sales Tax all jurisdictions	\$
		Local Property Tax with abatement	\$ 4,017,241
Direct Impacts from Operations		Total Taxes	\$ 4,017,241
Current Jobs in yr 0*	0		
New Direct Jobs from project	2		
Total Jobs in yr 5 with spinoff	6	Government Costs over 10 Years (curent \$)	
Current Company Payroll	- \$	County+Sheriff	\$ 99,286
New Direct Personal Income in yr 5	\$ 232,000	Schools	\$ 281,479
Personal Income (New + Retained)	\$ 232,000	Opportunity Costs	\$ 9,242
		Total Costs	\$ 390,007
Impacts during Operations			
Regional Jobs	6	Incentive Package	
Regional Personal Income	\$ 638,000	Property Tax(%)	80%
Jobs for county residents (yr 5)	6		
Personal Income for county residents (yr5)	\$ 638,000	Benefit/ Cost Ratio	10.3
Share of project market outside county	100%	Company Wage/worker in yr 1	\$ 116,000
		Company tax/worker in yr 1	\$ 1,000,452
Parish Impacts during Construction			
Construction Jobs onsite	190	Socioeconomic Impacts	
Total county jobs during construction	236	Avg. Wage retained jobs	0
Construction period (months)	15	Avg. Wage New Jobs	\$ 116,000
Total Payroll in Construction Period	\$ 11,824,172	New Jobs as % of County Average Wage	301%
Construction Spending	\$ 68,037,002	Additional Households in County	7
Total Company Investment	\$ 130,809,728	Additional K-12 students	2.8

Appendix C: Emerging County Precedent 80%

Benefits and Costs of the New Investment and Jobs

281,479 638,000 200,013 116,000 37,112 19,900,644 (15,920,515) 2,000,586 2,016,655 99,286 9,242 390,007 4,017,241 4,017,241 ŝ Aggregate Ave 1,547,932) 197,653 363,660 116,000 393,731 393,731 196,078 28,148 9,929 638,000 23,651,707 6,748 1,934,915 39,001 101 924 . Year 10 (1,566,546) 10.2 24,327,470 397,710 199,650 9,929 638,000 327,294 116,000 1,958,183 397,710 198,059 28,148 924 39,001 6,073 . Year 9 116,000 (1,577,323) 399,729 399,729 200,664 28,148 9.929 39,001 10.2 638,000 290,928 25,649,171 1,971,654 199,065 924 5,398 • Year 8 25,658,590 116,000 (1,586,794) 401,422 401,422 199,900 201,514 28,148 9,929 39,001 10.3 638,000 254,562 4.723 1,983,492 57 . Year 7 10.4 638,000 218,196 24,493,467 116,000 1,996,168 (1,598,550) 403,686 403,686 201,036 202,650 28,148 9,929 39,001 4,049 924 • Year 6 Lamar County Minkar Solar 10.4 638,000 181,830 24,015,685 116,000 3,374 2,005,944 1,604,755) 404,563 404,563 201,472 203,090 28,148 9,929 924 39,001 • Year5 203,366 39,001 145,464 24,671,238 116,000 (1,609,654) 405,112 405,112 201,746 28,148 638,000 2,012,067 9,929 10.4 2,699 924 • Year 4 638,000 109,098 22,666,710 116,000 2,016,557 (1,613,246) 405,336 405,336 201,857 203,479 28,148 9,929 39,001 10.4 2,024 924 • Year 3 (1,615,532) 10.4 19,032,815 116,000 405,233 405,233 201,806 28,148 638,000 72,732 1,350 2,019,415 203,427 9,929 39,001 924 • Year 2 1,600,183) 28,148 638,000 36,366 19,425,245 116,000 2,000,229 400,721 199,559 201,162 9,929 39,001 6 400,721 924 10.3 . Year 1 ~ New Property Tax Digest (res. + comm. Outside of comp New Property Tax Digest (project only) Total New Personal Income in county **Total New Jobs for county residents** New Direct Jobs at Company County government (FV) Reduction for abatement otal Property Tax Impact From Payroll Spending Wg. Wage for Project From Project CAPEX Government Costs n-County Impacts otal Tax Revenue ax by Jurisdiction Benefit/Cost Ratio pportunity Costs Schools (FV) roperty Tax ax Benefits otal Costs Schools County Sheriff

Source: Taimerica EDD Cost/Benefit Model

Opportunity costs are a method of avoiding double counting of workers already in the workforce and therefore paying taxes. They are the underemployed in the community.

NAICS:	323111	Local Tax Benefits over 10 years (current \$, not NPV)	not NPV)
Solar Electric Power Generation	u	Local Sales Tax all jurisdictions	\$
		Local Property Tax with abatement	\$ 1,032,145
Direct Impacts from Operations		Total Taxes	\$ 1,032,145
Current Jobs in yr 0*			
New Direct Jobs from project	2		
Total Jobs in yr 5 with spinoff	6	Government Costs over 10 Years (curent \$)	
Current Company Payroll	· \$	County+Sheriff	\$ 99,286
New Direct Personal Income in yr 5	\$ 232,000	Schools	\$ 281,479
Personal Income (New + Retained)	\$ 232,000	Opportunity Costs	\$ 9,242
		Total Costs	\$ 390,007
Impacts during Operations			
Regional Jobs	5	Incentive Package	
Regional Personal Income	\$ 638,000	Property Tax(%)	92%
Jobs for county residents (yr 5)	5		
Personal Income for county residents (yr5)	\$ 638,000	Benefit/ Cost Ratio	2.6
Share of project market outside county	100%	Company Wage/worker in yr 1	\$ 116,000
		Company tax/worker in yr 1	\$ 1,000,452
Parish Impacts during Construction			
Construction Jobs onsite	190	Socioeconomic Impacts	
Total county jobs during construction	236	Avg. Wage retained jobs	
Construction period (months)	15	Avg. Wage New Jobs	\$ 116,000
Total Payroll in Construction Period	\$ 11,824,172	New Jobs as % of County Average Wage	301%
Construction Spending	\$ 68,037,002	Additional Households in County	
Total Company Investment	\$ 130,809,728	Additional K-12 students	2.8

# Appendix D: Maximum Allowed 95% Fee-In-Lieu

Benefits and Costs of the New Investment and Jobs

638,000 200,013 (18,905,612) 1,032,145 1,032,145 281,479 99,286 116,000 37,112 19,900,644 514,008 518,137 9,242 390,007 Aggregate Å, 1,838,169) 116,000 6,748 1,934,915 103,493 103,493 51,540 51,954 28,148 638,000 363,660 9,929 2.7 23,651,707 39,001 924 . Year 10 638,000 327,294 24,327,470 116,000 6,073 1,958,183 (1,860,274) 103,982 103,982 51,783 52,199 28,148 39,001 9,929 2.7 924 . Year 9 116,000 (1,873,071) 52,198 28,148 9,929 290,928 25,649,171 5,398 1,971,654 51,782 27 638,000 103,981 103,981 39,001 924 . Year 8 25,658,590 116,000 52,157 28,148 254,562 4,723 1,983,492 (1,884,317) 103,898 103,898 51,741 2.7 638,000 9,929 57 39,001 . Year 7 (1,898,279) 51,771 52,187 28,148 218,196 24,493,467 116,000 4,049 1,998,188 103,958 2.7 638,000 103,958 9,929 39,001 924 . Year 6 Lamar County Minkar Solar 24,015,685 2,005,944 1,905,647) 52,043 28,148 181,830 116,000 3,374 51,628 2.7 638,000 103,671 103,671 9,929 39,001 924 . Year 5 24,671,238 1,911,464) 51,445 51,858 28,148 2.6 638,000 145,464 116,000 2,012,067 103,302 103,302 39,001 2,699 9,929 924 Year 4 22,666,710 (1,915,729) 2.6 638,000 109,098 116,000 2,024 2,016,557 102,852 102,852 51,220 51,632 28,148 9,929 39,001 924 . Year 3 19,032,815 2,019,415 (1,918,444) 50,956 51,365 28,148 72,732 116,000 1,350 102,320 2.6 638,000 102,320 9,929 39,001 924 . Year 2 (1,900,218) 19,425,245 2,000,229 28,148 2.6 638,000 36,366 116,000 675 100,686 100,686 50,142 50,544 9,929 39,001 924 . (ear 1 New Property Tax Digest (res. + comm. Outside of comp. New Property Tax Digest (project only) Total New Personal Income in county fotal New Jobs for county residents New Direct Jobs at Company Reduction for abatement County government (FV) fotal Property Tax Impact From Payroll Spending wg. Wage for Project From Project CAPEX Government Costs n-County Impacts otal Tax Revenue ax by Jurisdiction **Benefit/Cost Ratio** pportunity Costs Schools (FV) roperty Tax Tax Benefits Total Costs Schools County Sheriff

Source: Taimerica EDD Cost/Benefit Model

Opportunity costs are a method of avoiding double counting of workers already in the workforce and therefore paying taxes. They are the underemployed in the community

#### Appendix E: Tax Abatements for Solar Projects in Mississippi

Mississippi's FIL statute, Miss. Code Ann. Section 27-31-46 for new factories and enterprises with capital investments of 100 million or more provides an abatement of up to 75% of the otherwise payable annual property tax. The maximum term of this abatement is ten years. Further amendments were made, adjusting the minimum investment to \$60 million.

In 2021, the Mississippi legislature passed Miss. Code Ann. Section 27-31-46 for renewable energy generation projects. Under this authorization, the county board of supervisors can grant tax abatements of 50% on renewable energy projects over \$100 million. In 2022, the legislature approved increasing the renewable energy abatement to 90%, with the remaining 10% allowable at a 50% reduction. This increases the total renewables exemption to 95%, with 5% as an FIL exemption (see Table E1).

#### Table E1.

#### Comparison of the FIL Tax Abatements for Mississippi

	Old FIL Legislation	Updated FIL Legislation
Mississippi Code	27-31-101	27-31-46
Type of Project	Factory or Enterprise	Renewable
Minimum Investment Amount	\$60 Million	\$100 Million
Duration	30 years	10 years
Assessment Rate	15%	15%
FIL Exemption	2/3 (66%)	5% (90% + 50%)

Source: Mississippi State Legislation Section 27-31-104 and 27-31-46